



# Liberty V. Technocracy: Fighting to Succeed Isn't Fighting To Survive

Brandon Smith hits several nails squarely on the head, starting with the architects of modern globalism like Trilateral Commission member Richard N. Gardner who wrote *The Hard Road to World Order* in 1974.

Not incidentally, this article provided the subtitle to my book, [\*Technocracy: The Hard Road to World Order\*](#).

The struggle we face is not for survival, but rather for prevailing over a twisted technocrat ideology run by twisted global elites who think they have some divine, if not satanic, right to rule over mankind with micromanaged precision.

Smith correctly concludes, *“Freedom and the fight for peace and balance in the face of would-be emperors is an infinite battle. It never ends. The fight IS freedom. Without the fight, freedom disappears.”* □ TN Editor

Activism in the liberty movement often requires a painful examination of

details. We look at political and economic trends, identify inconsistencies in the mainstream narrative, point out inevitable outcomes of disaster or attempts at collectivist power, and ask - "Who benefits?" Ultimately, the analysts and activists with any sense of observation come to the same conclusion: There is a contingent of financial elites embedded within the political world and the corporate world that have a specific ideology and malicious goals. They create most geopolitical and economic crisis events using puppets in government as well as influence in central banking. They then turn the consequences of these events to their advantage.

This group is identified by their intent as well as their associations. Their intent is utter dominance through globalism to the point that national borders are erased and all trade and governance flows through a single one-world edifice that they seek to control. As Richard N. Gardner, former deputy assistant Secretary of State for International Organizations under Kennedy and Johnson, and a member of the Trilateral Commission, wrote in the April, 1974 issue of the Council on Foreign Relations (CFR) journal *Foreign Affairs* (pg. 558) in an article titled [‘The Hard Road To World Order’](#):

***“In short, the ‘house of world order’ will have to be built from the bottom up rather than from the top down. It will look like a great ‘booming, buzzing confusion,’ to use William James’ famous description of reality, but an end run around national sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece, will accomplish much more than the old-fashioned frontal assault.”***

They want to reinvent civilization and mold it into a homogenized and highly micromanaged global hive. Within this collective, they see themselves as not only the future masters of social evolution, but also as demigods that are worshiped by the masses. And, they are willing to do almost ANYTHING to achieve this endgame.

In an article I wrote last year titled [‘Global Elitists Are Not Human’](#), I outlined the connection between globalist ideology, globalist actions and the psychology of narcissistic sociopaths (narcopaths or psychopaths). I theorized that the globalists are in fact a stark example of tightly

organized psychopathy. In other words, like a criminal cartel or cult, they are a group of psychopaths that have unified their efforts to become more efficient predators. And like many psychopaths, they have conjured elaborate philosophical explanations for their abhorrent activities to the point that they seem to have developed their own disturbing brand of religion.

There comes a moment in the life of many liberty movement activists or analysts when they are confronted with this reality: The reality that we are not fighting a faceless “system” that was built passively by mistake, or built in the name of mere random greed. No, the system is only an extension of a greater agenda and the weapon of a conspiratorial army. What we are really fighting are very evil people with psychopathic desires to dominate and destroy. Attempt to change the system without removing the cabal behind it, and you will fail every time.

This is where we hit a wall of indecision and find ourselves at an impasse on solutions within the movement. There are even some people who argue that “nothing can be done”.

This is, of course, a lie. Something can indeed be done. We can fight and remove the elites from the equation entirely. In fact, we have no choice but to fight if we hope to retain any semblance of our sovereignty or foundational principles. But sadly, there are people in the movement with some influence who do not seem to understand the difference between fighting to survive, and fighting to succeed.

Let me break it down a little further...

The liberty movement is obsessed with the concept of “survival”. We see the globalist efforts leading to the ruin of the common man’s future and we know that the threat is very real. So, we prepare; we prepare to survive, but not necessarily to prevail.

Survival in itself is meaningless. There are many ways to stay alive. A person could just as easily sell out to the globalists and help them, and that person would probably have better “odds” of survival than I will farming my homestead as a producer and living off my preps in defiance of them. If survival alone is your goal, then you are NOT a liberty activist

and you have missed the bigger picture.

Even in the event that you can weather the storm of economic chaos or political civil war safely in an isolated retreat somewhere on a far off mountaintop, what kind of world will you be coming back to when you finally have to leave that idyllic castle? What kind of world will your children be coming back to? And their children...?

I'm certainly not dismissing the usefulness of survival culture. I'm a big proponent of it. But there are self proclaimed survival "gurus" out there that are misleading the movement into thinking that survival is the final goal. And to this end, they have criticized people for organizing or preparing to fight the establishment. They claim it can't be done. We'll be "wiped off the face of the Earth". The enemy is far too strong and what can a mere rifle do against a tank? But if survivalism requires running away and hiding like a coward from a known evil or refusing to take action for the sake of future generations, then I don't want to be a survivalist...

Freedom cannot be boiled down to a dream or a wish; something that might happen someday if we are able to stay alive long enough. Freedom is a responsibility that is already born into most human beings. It's not a cheesy or childish ideal, it's a timeless ideal. Freedom and the fight for peace and balance in the face of would-be emperors is an infinite battle. It never ends. The fight IS freedom. Without the fight, freedom disappears.

For each person that defies collectivists and totalitarians, even at the risk of their own life, the shadow is held back another day. This is what matters, and this is what the survival purists don't get. You have to make yourself WORTHY of surviving, by standing for principles and values that are bigger than you are. Otherwise you're not worth a damn to anyone, even yourself.

As for the notion of the impossible mountain; the lone rebel taking on a vast globalist army...this is not a delusional fantasy and these people are not alone. There are millions of us out there, getting ready and forming pockets of resistance. In the meantime we fight the information war,

because the globalist's most powerful weapon is not a tank or even a nuclear bomb, it's propaganda. The ability to turn a population in on itself and cause it to self destruct is far more dangerous than any technological advancement or military marvel.

As a long time mixed martial artist, I have seen the biggest and most intimidating opponents toppled by clever strategy and willpower. There is no such thing as an unbeatable man, nor an unbeatable army. There is always a way to prevail.

Finally, when I consider the claim made by some people that beating the elites in a direct confrontation is a "pipe dream", I have to ask a fundamental question: Why do these people assume we have a choice? I've witnessed some pretty desperate attempts at silver bullet solutions to globalism in my years in the movement, from presidential election campaigns to change a system that cannot be changed from within, to "revolutionary" cryptocurrencies that the banking elites happily invest in and co-opt.

People misplace their faith in corrupt politicians and the rigged political process, even though they should know better by now. In the final analysis, politics is designed to keep society in stasis, frozen with inaction or fighting in the name of a false leader. Always, when the dust settles the elites escape blame and scrutiny while the public picks up the pieces and tries to understand just what happened. The current chaos surrounding Donald Trump is no different; it is only different in that Trump is a puppet whose job is to appeal directly to liberty activists. For once we're getting recognition, but it's not the good kind...

And while building alternatives to the mainstream system and removing yourself from the grid is a step in the right direction, this alone is only a stop-gap. One day, the establishment will come to take what you have. There is no way around this. Narcopaths are like ravenous parasites feeding on every last morsel of humanity. They take whatever can be taken.

The question is, when they come to digest that which you hold precious, how will you respond? Is fighting back impossible, or is it preferable to

slavery? Is dying for a better tomorrow a fool's errand, or the only errand we are put on this Earth for? These are questions that need to be answered and answered soon. The time left to ponder them is running out.

[Read full story here...](#)

---



## **Jaywalk Shaming: China Puts Your Face And Name On E-Billboard**

China's ubiquitous surveillance and identification system watches everything, everywhere for rule-breakers and shames them in real-time by displaying pictures with names on electronic billboards.

Since the entire population has already been catalogued into a national

database, identifying a single person takes only seconds. This is symptomatic of a Technocracy at work. □ TN Editor

It's something that many people do without giving it a second thought, but if you jaywalk in [China](#) , you could be publicly shamed.

[Facial recognition](#) technology is being used in several Chinese cities, including Shanghai and Shenzhen, which can spot people jaywalking, and post their photo and ID to a huge billboard.

Writer Matthew Brennan tweeted a shocking video of the strange system, although it remains unclear where it was filmed.

He wrote: "Chinese facial recognition system to discourage minor traffic violations. Cross the road when you shouldn't and a picture of you with your name, ID card number pop up on the big screen for everyone to see."

His tweet has garnered over 3,000 retweets and almost 5,000 likes (at time of writing), with many people replying to express their shock at the system.

However, while it may be shocking to us, it's pretty common place for locals, who have had to endure the system since 2018.

Speaking to [Channel News Asia](#) , Janine Wong, a news researcher in Shanghai explained: "It doesn't matter if you're walking or riding a bicycle.

"(Your picture) will be captured, and your face will show up on a screen nearby so everyone can see your face.

"Once they identify your face, all your information (like mobile phone number) is linked."

Facial recognition is widely used across China, including in several airports.

[Read full story here...](#)



## **Judge: DNA Data Can Be Mined By Police, Government**

Massive private DNA databases are being rapidly pried open by law enforcement and government. Technocrat handlers are incapable of keeping their hands off such a treasure trove of family trees. □ TN Editor

For police officers around the country, the genetic profiles that 20 million people have uploaded to consumer DNA sites represent a tantalizing resource that could be used to solve cases both new and cold. But for years, the vast majority of the data have been off limits to investigators. The two largest sites, Ancestry.com and 23andMe, have long pledged to keep their users' genetic information private, and a smaller one, GEDmatch, [severely restricted](#) police access to its records this year

Last week, however, a Florida detective announced at a police convention that he had obtained a warrant to penetrate GEDmatch and



search its full database of nearly one million users. Legal experts said that this appeared to be the first time a judge had approved such a warrant, and that the development could have profound implications for genetic privacy.

“That’s a huge game-changer,” said Erin Murphy, a law professor at New York University. “The company made a decision to keep law enforcement out, and that’s been overridden by a court. It’s a signal that no genetic information can be safe.”

DNA policy experts said the development was likely to encourage other agencies to request similar search warrants from 23andMe, which has 10 million users, and Ancestry.com, which has 15 million. If that comes to pass, the Florida judge’s decision will affect not only the users of these sites but [huge swaths of the population](#), including those who have never taken a DNA test. That’s because this emerging forensic technique makes it possible to identify a DNA profile even through distant family relationships.

Using public genealogy sites to crack cold cases had its breakthrough moment in April 2018 when the California police [used GEDmatch to identify a man](#) they believe is the Golden State Killer, Joseph James DeAngelo.

After his arrest, dozens of law enforcement agencies around the country rushed to [apply the method](#) to their own cases. Investigators have since used [genetic genealogy](#) to identify suspects and victims in more than 70 cases of murder, sexual assault and burglary, ranging from five decades to just a few months old.

Most users of genealogy services have uploaded their genetic information in order to find relatives, learn about ancestors and get insights into their health — not anticipating that the police might one day search for killers and rapists in their family trees. After a [revolt](#) by a group of prominent genealogists, GEDmatch changed its policies in May. It required law enforcement agents to identify themselves when searching its database, and it gave them access only to the profiles of users who had explicitly opted in to such queries. (As of last week,

according to the GEDmatch co-founder Curtis Rogers, just 185,000 of the site's 1.3 million users had opted in.)

Like [many others in law enforcement](#), Detective Michael Fields of the Orlando Police Department was disappointed by GEDmatch's policy shift. He had used the site last year to [identify a suspect](#) in the 2001 murder of a 25-year-old woman that he had spent six years trying to solve. Today, working with a forensic consulting firm, Parabon, Detective Fields is trying to solve the case of a serial rapist who assaulted a number of women decades ago.

In July, he asked a judge in the Ninth Judicial Circuit Court of Florida to approve a warrant that would let him override the privacy settings of GEDmatch's users and search the site's full database of 1.2 million users. After Judge Patricia Strowbridge agreed, Detective Fields said in an interview, the site complied within 24 hours. He said that some leads had emerged, but that he had yet to make an arrest. He declined to share the warrant or say how it was worded.

Detective Fields described his methods at the International Association of Chiefs of Police conference in Chicago last week. Logan Koepke, a policy analyst at Upturn, a nonprofit in Washington that studies how technology affects social issues, was in the audience. After the talk, "multiple other detectives and officers approached him asking for a copy of the warrant," Mr. Koepke said.

DNA policy experts said they would closely watch public response to news of the warrant, to see if law enforcement agencies will be emboldened to go after the much larger genetic databases.

"I have no question in my mind that if the public isn't outraged by this, they will go to the mother lode: the 15-million-person Ancestry database," Professor Murphy said. "Why play in the peanuts when you can go to the big show?"

Yaniv Erlich, the chief science officer at MyHeritage, a genealogy database of around 2.5 million people, agreed. "They won't stop here," he said.

Because of the nature of DNA, every criminal is likely to have multiple relatives in every major genealogy database. Without an outcry, Professor Murphy and others said, warrants like the one obtained by Detective Fields could become the new norm, turning all genetic databases into law enforcement databases.

Not all consumer genetics sites are alike. GEDmatch and FamilyTreeDNA make it possible for anyone to upload his or her DNA information and start looking for relatives. Law enforcement agents began conducting genetic genealogy investigations there not because these sites were the biggest but because they were the most open.

[Read full story here...](#)

Also see:

[“Hundreds” of crimes will soon be solved using DNA databases, genealogist predicts](#)